

Minutes Riseholme Annual Parish Meeting Tuesday 4<sup>th</sup> October 2016

Present

Cllr N.Foster  
 Cllr E.Diver.  
 Cllr A. Scarborough  
 Cllr R.Andrews  
 CouncillorWhite  
 C.Emerson

Item No	Discussion	Action
2016/ 21	<b>Apologies</b> Councillors G. McNeill J Brockway	
2016/ 22	<b>Declaration of Interests.</b> There were no further declaration of interest to declare than those declared on an annual basis	
2016/ 23	<b>Minutes of Parish Council Meeting 19<sup>th</sup> July 2016.</b> It was proposed by Cllr Diver and seconded by Cllr Andrews to accept the minutes as a true and accurate record of the meeting with an amendment including the inspector M. Birkenshaw as also appointed. <b>Resolved</b> all in favour.	
2016/ 24	<b>District Councillor and Clerk's Report</b> /Cllr White reported that:- <b>Devolution</b> Councils were still dealing with the devolution agenda. The outcome was still unknown. <b>NHS</b> The NHS were looking to place a greater onus on GP's and families to deliver the services. <b>WLDC</b> They had taken over a recruitment agency which they would then run. <b>Clerk's report</b> The insurance had been renewed <b>Devolution Response</b> A response had been submitted  All other matters came under their own agenda item.	

2016/ 25	<p><b>Local Plan</b></p> <p>The clerk confirmed that she had been in contact with the programme organiser and they had been informed by the Inspector they could attend as observer on all matters except LP32 when they could participate. Cllr Diver and Cllr Andrews name had been put forward.</p> <p>A response to the Matters and Issues questions had been drafted.</p> <p>It was proposed by Cllr Foster and seconded by Cllr Scarborough that these documents been submitted. Resolved All in favour.</p> <p>.</p>	Clerk
2016/ 26	<p><b>Neighbourhood Plan</b></p> <p>The consultations had all concluded and the Inspector had provided his comments. His response had suggested some minor amendments but his view had been extremely positive and had stated that “consultation had been at the heart of the plan “ and it had provided a “positive vision” for the future for Riseholme.</p> <p>A referendum would now be held but due to a land owner difficulty it was to be held in Nettleham not Riseholme as originally hoped. It was proposed by Cllr Andrews and Seconded by Cllr Diver that the Neighbourhood Plan incorporating the Inspector’s amendments be put to the Parish for a referendum.</p> <p><b>Resolved</b> all in favour</p> <p>Cllr Diver confirmed she would print the flyer explaining the change of venue for the vote and that Edward Leigh was attending on 14<sup>th</sup> October 2016 at 4.30pm and all were invited to attend.</p> <p>The Clerk reported that Dunholme had circulated their Neighbourhood Plan for comment. It was proposed by Cllr Foster and seconded by Cllr Scarborough that as all were content with the contents the Clerk should confirm that Riseholme were in favour of the plan. <b>Resolved</b> All in Favour</p>	Cllr Diver
2016/ 27	<p><b>Planning Applications</b></p> <p><b>University Application 134780</b></p> <p>All had seen and been involved with the response to that application. N. Cockerell was commended for all her work. It was proposed by Cllr Diver and seconded by Cllr Scarborough that the response be submitted.</p>	Clerk

	<p>Resolved All in favour</p> <p>It was proposed by Cllr Foster and seconded by Cllr Andrews that N. Cockerill should be paid £1,000.00 for all the work she had done and a formal vote of thanks be sent.</p> <p><b>Planning Application 134990</b> A response had been drafted and circulated. Cllr Foster had walked the site as had Cllr Scarborough. Concern was expressed at the approach the University had taken at the meeting on 22<sup>nd</sup> September 2016 and representations had been made to various bodies. Subject to minor amendment it was proposed by Cllr Andrews and seconded by Cllr Scarborough that the response should be submitted</p> <p><b>Planning Application 134953</b> It was confirmed that there were no objections. Therefore a response would be sent to West Lindsey District Council stated that there were no objections</p> <p><b>Planning Application 134683</b> The outcome was noted</p>	<p>Clerk</p> <p>Clerk</p> <p>Clerk</p>
2016/28	<p><b>Precept</b></p> <p>In view of recent costs it was considered whether the precept as resolved at the last meeting was sufficient. However, due to the healthy bank balance it was felt unnecessary. It was proposed by Cllr Foster and seconded by Cllr Scarborough that the precept remain at £2,000.00. <b>Resolved</b> all in favour.</p>	

### RECENT PAYMENTS : 3 OCTOBER 2016

Since the July 2016 meeting there have only been a few payments:

Date	Chq no.	Payee	Services	Amount £
28.07.16	091	Came & Company	Local council insurance	164.25

21.09.16	093	C Emerson	Clerk's remuneration (net)	xxx
21.09.16	094	HMRC	PAYE	xxx
28.09.16	095	Neighbourhood Planning Services / N Cockrell	Re Univ planning application	1,000.00
28.09.16	096	Budget Printing Services	Re Neighbourhood Plan	15.00

Receipts:

None

**After the above payments the bank balance will be:**

**£4,092**

2016/ 30	<b>Heritage Trail</b> Councillor White agreed to contact Richard Porter. They would set up a group to look at how this could be established	Cllr White/Cllr Diver
-------------	--	-----------------------

**Date of next meeting was set for 31<sup>st</sup> January 2017 at 7pm Nettleham Old School.**

**Signed as a true record ..... (Chair )**

**Date .....**